

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/532,333	04/22/2005	Thomas Lich	10191/3768	9141	
26646 KENYON & K	7590 12/30/200 XENYON I LP	EXAMINER			
ONE BROAD	WAY	GOODEN JR, BARRY J			
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3616	3616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/30/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/532,333	LICH, THOMAS		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Barry J. Gooden Jr.	3616		

	barry o. Gooden or.	5010					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 14 December 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
The period for reply expires months from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection, which	chever is later. In				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejectio	n.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date whave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of valunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	of the date of appeal. Since				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be 			cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		ΓE below);					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett 		di ining na nigariti dan si					
appeal; and/or	,		ie issues ioi				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	OL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alled non-allowable claim(s). 		•					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an ex	planation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>10-25</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>10-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
	/Faye M. Fleming/						
	Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 3616					
	•						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner notes that the previous rejection is proper. All of the arguments and points that the applicant risies, has been previously addressed in the final foe action. Nevertheless, examiner notes that the sensor of the prior art is aligned in the vertical direction, thus meeting the claimed limitation. In regards to not predominatly zero signals sensed during normal driving the argument is based on an assertion and is therefor ineffective in overcoming the prior art rejection. In regards to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, the applicant has still not provided any evidence that overcomes the rejection the limitation "measure only in a substantially vertical direction" has no support in the specification. Examiner maintains the rejections under 55 U.S.C. 102 and 103 are proper.